arXiv:1703.08821v1 [math.PR] 26 Mar 2017

Stochastic flows of two-dimensional second grade fluids

Shijie Shang[1,*]
[1] *School of Mathematical Sciences,*
University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, 230026, China

Abstract: In this paper, we consider a stochastic model of incompressible non-Newtonian fluids of second grade on a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We show that the solution of the stochastic equation of second grade fluids generates a perfect continuous cocycle, and we analyse the Fréchet differentiability of the cocycle.

Key Words: Second grade fluids; Non-Newtonian fluids; Stochastic partial differential equations; Cocycle; Stochastic flow.

1 Introduction

Recently, non-Newtonian fluids have attracted more and more attention. In this article, we consider a special class of non-Newtonian fluids of differential type, namely the second grade fluids, which is an admissible model of slow flow fluids such as industrial fluids, slurries, polymer melts, etc. We are interested in the mathematical analysis of the stochastic model for the incompressible second grade fluid.

Throughout this paper, we take the probability space as the canonical complete filtered Wiener space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, P)$, i.e. $\Omega = \{\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}) | \omega(0) = 0\}$ with the compact open topology, $\mathcal{F}$ is the completion of the Borel σ-field of Ω with respect to the Wiener measure P. The stochastic model is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} d(u - \alpha\Delta u) + \Big(-\nu\Delta u + \operatorname{curl}(u - \alpha\Delta u) \times u + \nabla\mathfrak{P} \Big)\, dt \\ \quad = F(u,t)\, dt + (u - \alpha\Delta u) \circ \sigma dW, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O} \times (0, \mathrm{T}], \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{O} \times (0, \mathrm{T}], \\ u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \partial\mathcal{O} \times [0, \mathrm{T}], \\ u(0) = \xi \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{3,1}$. $u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ represent the random velocity and modified pressure, respectively. α, σ are positive constants and ν is the kinematic viscosity. W is the coordinate process defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, P)$, i.e. $W(t, \omega) = \omega(t)$, which implies that W is the one-dimensional standard Brownian motion. The fluid is driven by external forces $F(u,t)\, dt$ and the noise $(u - \alpha\Delta u) \circ \sigma dW$. The initial random variable ξ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_0$.

*ssjln@mail.ustc.edu.cn

The model of second grade fluids reduces to the Navier-Stokes equation(NSE) when $\alpha = 0$, and it's also a good approximation of the NSE as shown in [10, 1]. Furthermore, it has interesting connections with other fluid models, see [2, 17, 3] and references therein. We refer the reader to [7, 6, 8, 4, 5] for a comprehensive theory of the deterministic case. For the stochastic case, we refer to [14, 15, 9, 16, 20, 21, 19].

The purpose of this paper is to study the cocycle property of second grade fluids. In particular, we will show that the solution of (1.1) generates a perfect C^0 cocycle, moreover when the initial value has higher regularity the solution is Fréchet differentiable with respect to the inital value. Since the curl-term is order of three, the system (1.1) is highly nonlinear(far from semi-linear). This leads to that the dissipation of (1.1) is much weaker than the 2D stochastic NSE and other SPDEs considered in [11] and [12], which has the smoothing effect in finite time,

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 is to introduce some preliminaries. In Section 3, we formulate the hypotheses and state our main results. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of main results.

Throughout this paper, $C, C(T)...$ are positive constants depending on some parameters $T, ...$, whose value may be different from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some functional spaces and preliminaries needed in the paper.

For $p \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ and $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{O})$ the usual L^p and Sobolev spaces over $\mathcal{O}$ respectively, and write $H^k(\mathcal{O}) := W^{k,2}(\mathcal{O})$. We write $\mathbb{X} = X \times X$ for any vector space X. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} &:= \big\{u \in [\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})]^2 \text{ such that div } u = 0\big\},\\
\mathbb{V} &:= \text{ closure of } \mathcal{C} \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O}),\\
\mathbb{H} &:= \text{ closure of } \mathcal{C} \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O}).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $|\cdot|$ the inner product in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O})$(in $\mathbb{H}$) and the induced norm, respectively. Let

$$((u,v)) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} dx,$$

Denote $\|u\| := ((u,u))$. We endow the space $\mathbb{V}$ with the norm $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{V}}$ generated by the following inner product

$$(u,v)_{\mathbb{V}} := (u,v) + \alpha((u,v)), \quad \text{for any } u, v \in \mathbb{V}.$$

The Poincaré's inequality implies that there exists a constant $\mathcal{P} > 0$ such that the following inequality holds

$$(\mathcal{P}^2 + \alpha)^{-1}|v|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \leq \|v\|^2 \leq \alpha^{-1}|v|_{\mathbb{V}}^2, \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{V}. \tag{2.1}$$

We also introduce the following space

$$\mathbb{W} := \big\{u \in \mathbb{V} : \text{curl}(u - \alpha\Delta u) \in L^2(\mathcal{O})\big\},$$

and endow it with the semi-norm $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{W}}$ generated by the scalar product

$$(u,v)_{\mathbb{W}} := \big(\mathrm{curl}(u-\alpha\Delta u), \mathrm{curl}(v-\alpha\Delta v)\big). \tag{2.2}$$

The following result states that this semi-norm $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{W}}$ is equivalent to the usual norm in $\mathbb{H}^3(\mathcal{O})$. The proof can be found in [5, 4].

Lemma 2.1.

$$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{H}^3(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{V}.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|u|_{\mathbb{H}^3(\mathcal{O})} \le C|u|_{\mathbb{W}}, \quad \forall\ u \in \mathbb{W}. \tag{2.3}$$

Identifying the Hilbert space $\mathbb{V}$ with its dual space $\mathbb{V}^*$, via the Riesz representation. We consider the system (1.1) in the framework of Gelfand triple:

$$\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{W}^*.$$

We also denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the dual relation between $\mathbb{W}^*$ and $\mathbb{W}$ from now on. It is easy to see

$$(v,w)_{\mathbb{V}} = \langle v, w\rangle, \quad \forall\, v \in \mathbb{V}, \ \ \forall\, w \in \mathbb{W}. \tag{2.4}$$

Because the injection of $\mathbb{W}$ into $\mathbb{V}$ is compact, there exists a sequence $\{e_i\}$ of elements of $\mathbb{W}$ which forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{W}$, and an orthogonal system in $\mathbb{V}$, such that

$$(u,e_i)_{\mathbb{W}} = \lambda_i (u,e_i)_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathbb{W}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $0 < \lambda_i \uparrow \infty$. Lemma 4.1 in [4] implies that

$$e_i \in \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}), \ \ \forall\, i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.6}$$

Define the Stokes operator by

$$Au := -\mathbb{P}\Delta u, \quad \forall\, u \in D(A) = \mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{V}, \tag{2.7}$$

here the mapping $\mathbb{P} : \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the usual Helmholtz-Leray projection, that is, for any $u \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O})$, there exists a $\phi_u \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O})$ such that $u = \mathbb{P}u + \nabla\phi_u$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{curl}(\mathbb{P}v) = \mathrm{curl}(v), \quad \forall\ v \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O}). \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore,

$$|u|_{\mathbb{W}} = |\mathrm{curl}(I+\alpha A)u|, \quad \forall\ u \in \mathbb{W}. \tag{2.9}$$

Since the Stokes operator A is a self-adjoint non-negative operator on $\mathbb{H}$, the spectrum $\sigma(A) \subset [0,\infty)$, and the resolvent $(I+\alpha A)^{-1}$ is a linear bounded operator on $\mathbb{H}$. By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\big|\mathrm{curl}(v)\big|^2 \le \frac{2}{\alpha}|v|^2_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{V}. \tag{2.10}$$

(2.9) and (2.10) imply that the operator $(I+\alpha A)^{-1}$ defines an isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O})\cap\mathbb{H}$ into $\mathbb{W}$. Moreover, for any $f, g \in \mathbb{V}$, the following properties hold

$$|(I+\alpha A)^{-1}f|_{\mathbb{V}} \le C|(I+\alpha A)^{-1}f|_{\mathbb{W}} \le C|f|_{\mathbb{V}}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\big((I+\alpha A)^{-1}f, g\big)_{\mathbb{V}} = (f, g). \tag{2.12}$$

Let $\widehat{A} := (I+\alpha A)^{-1}A$, then $\widehat{A}$ is a continuous linear operator from $\mathbb{W}$ onto itself, and satisfies

$$(\widehat{A}u, v)_{\mathbb{V}} = (Au, v) = ((u, v)), \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{W},\ v \in \mathbb{V}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$|\widehat{A}u|_{\mathbb{W}^*} \le C|\widehat{A}u|_{\mathbb{V}} \le C|u|_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{W}. \tag{2.14}$$

Define the bilinear operator $\widehat{B}(\cdot\,,\cdot) :\ \mathbb{W}\times\mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}^*$ by

$$\widehat{B}(u, v) := (I+\alpha A)^{-1}\mathbb{P}\big(\mathrm{curl}(u-\alpha\Delta u)\times v\big). \tag{2.15}$$

For simplicity, we write $\widehat{B}(u) := \widehat{B}(u, u)$. We have the following lemma which can be found in [15]

Lemma 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{B}(u, v)|_{\mathbb{W}^*} \le C|u|_{\mathbb{W}}|v|_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{W},\ v \in \mathbb{V}\\
&|\widehat{B}(u, u)|_{\mathbb{W}^*} \le C|u|^2_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{W},\\
&\langle\widehat{B}(u, v), v\rangle = 0, \quad \forall\, u, v \in \mathbb{W},\\
&\langle\widehat{B}(u, v), w\rangle = -\langle\widehat{B}(u, w), v\rangle, \quad \forall\, u, v, w \in \mathbb{W}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also need the following results for $\widehat{B}$. For $u \in \mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}),\ v, w \in \mathbb{W}$, we see that $\widehat{B}(u, v) \in \mathbb{W}$, by (2.8), (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\widehat{B}(u, v), w\big)_{\mathbb{W}} &= \Big(\mathrm{curl}\big[\mathbb{P}\big(\mathrm{curl}\big(u-\alpha\Delta u\big)\times v\big)\big], \mathrm{curl}\big(w-\alpha\Delta w\big)\Big)\\
&= \Big(\mathrm{curl}\big[\mathrm{curl}\big(u-\alpha\Delta u\big)\times v\big], \mathrm{curl}\big(w-\alpha\Delta w\big)\Big)\\
&= \Big(\big(v\cdot\nabla\big)\mathrm{curl}\big(u-\alpha\Delta u\big), \mathrm{curl}\big(w-\alpha\Delta w\big)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In particular,

$$\big(\widehat{B}(u, v), u\big)_{\mathbb{W}} = \Big(\big(v\cdot\nabla\big)\mathrm{curl}\big(u-\alpha\Delta u\big), \mathrm{curl}\big(u-\alpha\Delta u\big)\Big) = 0, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}),\ v \in \mathbb{W}. \tag{2.17}$$

3 Hypotheses and main results

In this section, we will formulate precise assumptions on coefficients, and state main results of this paper.

Let $F : \mathbb{V}\times[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{V}$ be a given measurable map. We introduce the following two conditions:

(F1)

$$|F(u_1,t)-F(u_2,t)|_{\mathbb{V}} \le C_F(t)|u_1-u_2|_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \forall\, u_1,u_2 \in \mathbb{V}, t>0,$$
$$|F(u,t)|_{\mathbb{V}} \le C_F(t)|u|_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathbb{V}, t \ge 0,$$

where $C_F(t)$ is a bounded function on any bounded time interval.
(F2) F is Fréchet differentiable with respect to the first variable, and the Fréchet derivative $\mathbb{D}F : \mathbb{V}\times[0,\infty) \to L(\mathbb{V})$ is continuous with respect to the first variable.

Set

$$\widehat{F}(u,t) := (I+\alpha A)^{-1}F(u,t).$$

Applying $(I+\alpha A)^{-1}$ to each term of the equation (1.1), we can rewrite it in the following abstract form:

$$du(t)+\nu\widehat{A}u(t)dt+\widehat{B}\big(u(t),u(t)\big)dt=\widehat{F}\big(u(t),t\big)dt+u(t)\circ\sigma dW(t), \tag{3.1}$$

with the initial value $u(0)=\xi$.

Definition 3.1. *A $\mathbb{V}$-valued continuous and $\mathbb{W}$-valued weakly continuous $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$-adapted stochastic process u is called a solution of the system (1.1), if the following conditions hold:*
(1) $u \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T];\mathbb{W})$, for any $T>0$;
(2) for any $t\ge 0$, the following equation holds in $\mathbb{W}^*$ P-a.s.:

$$u(t)+\nu\int_0^t\widehat{A}u(s)ds+\int_0^t\widehat{B}\big(u(s),u(s)\big)ds=\xi+\int_0^t\widehat{F}\big(u(s),s\big)ds+\int_0^t u(s)\circ\sigma dW(s).$$

With a minor modification of Theorem 3.2 in [16](or see also [14]), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that* **(F1)** *holds and $\xi \in L^4(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,P;\mathbb{W})$, then there exists a unique solution to the equation (3.1).*

In the deterministic case(i.e. $\sigma=0$) of (3.1), the following lemma is immediately deduced from Theorem 5.6 in [4] and Lemma III.1.4 in [18].

Lemma 3.1. *If the initial value $u(0)\in\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$, then for each $T\ge 0$, the solution u belongs to $L^\infty\big([0,T];\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})\big)$. Moreover, $u(t)\in\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$ for each $t\ge 0$, and*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|u(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})} \le C(T,|u(0)|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})}). \tag{3.2}$$

Finally, we state the main results of this paper. For each $f\in\mathbb{W}, t\ge 0, \omega\in\Omega$, we denote in this paper by $u(t,f,\omega)$ the solution of (3.1) with the initial value $u(0)=f$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R}^+\times\Omega\longrightarrow\Omega$ be the standard Brownian shift on the canonical complete filtered Wiener space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$

$$\theta(t,\omega)(s)=\omega(t+s)-\omega(t), \quad t,s\ge 0,\omega\in\Omega.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that* **(F1)** *holds. Then there is a $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W})\otimes\mathcal{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$-measurable version $u:\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{W}\times\Omega\longrightarrow\mathbb{W}$ with the following properties:*

(i) For each $f \in \mathbb{W}$, the process $u(\cdot, f, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$-measurable, $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ -adapted and satisfies the equation (3.1) with the initial value $u(0) = f$.

(ii) (The cocycle) (u, θ) is a C^0 perfect cocycle, i.e.

$$u\big(t_2, u(t_1, f, \omega), \theta(t, \omega)\big) = u(t_1 + t_2, f, \omega), \quad \forall\, t_1, t_2 \geq 0, f \in \mathbb{W}, \omega \in \Omega, \tag{3.3}$$

and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{W} \ni (t, f) \longmapsto u(t, f, \omega) \in \mathbb{W}$ is continuous.

(iii) Further assume that **(F1)** *and* **(F2)** *hold, then for each $(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$, the map $u(t, \cdot\,, \omega) : \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable on $\mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$.*

4 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Our approach is to transform (3.1) into an equation (4.1)(see below) with random coefficients and then we analyse using Galerkin approximations. We first establish several propositions, and then give the proof of Theorem 3.2.

For simplicity, we sometimes omit the parameter ω in the following when it is clear from the context.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t) &:= \exp\{\sigma W(t)\}, \\ \widehat{F}_Q(u, t) &:= \widehat{F}\big(Q(t)u, t\big)/Q(t), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(u, t) &:= -\nu \widehat{A}u - Q(t)\widehat{B}(u, u) + \widehat{F}_Q(u, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then obviously, $\|Q\|_{\infty,T} := \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} Q(t) < \infty$, $\forall\, \omega \in \Omega, T \geq 0$. Consider the following system for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{cases} dv(t, f) = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(t, f), t)\, dt, \quad t > 0, \\ v(0, f) = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{W}. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Once the well-posedness of (4.1) is established, by Itô's formula it is easy to show that $Q(t)v(t, f)$ is a version of $u(t, f)$, where $u(t, f)$ is the solution of (3.1) with the initial value $u(0) = f$. Actually, $Q(t)v(t, f)$ also satisfies the cocycle property in Theorem (3.2).

We prove the existence of solutions of (4.1) by Galerkin approximations. From (2.5) we know that $\{\sqrt{\lambda_i} e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{V}$. Let $\mathbb{V}_n$ denote the n-dimensional subspace spanned by $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots..., e_n\}$ in $\mathbb{W}$. Let $\Pi_n : \mathbb{W}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_n$ be defined by

$$\Pi_n g := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \langle g, e_i \rangle e_i, \quad \forall\, g \in \mathbb{W}^*.$$

Now for any integer $n \geq 1$, we seek a solution v_n to the equation

$$\begin{cases} dv_n(t) = \Pi_n \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(t), t)\, dt, \quad t > 0, \\ v_n(0) = \Pi_n f, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

such that $v_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{jn}(t) e_j$ for appropriate choice of real-valued random processes g_{jn}.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that* **(F1)** *holds, $f \in \mathbb{W}$, then there exists a unique global solution to (4.2), and for any $T \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \big|v_n(t,\omega)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 \leq C(T)|f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Actually, $v_n(t)$ solves (4.2) if and only if the Fourier coefficients $\{g_{jn}(t)\}_{j=1}^n$ solve a random ODE system. Indeed, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\lambda_j} dg_{jn}(t) = -\nu \displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^n g_{ln}(t)((e_i,e_j))\,dt - Q(t) \sum_{i,k=1}^n g_{in}(t)g_{kn}(t)\big\langle \widehat{B}(e_i,e_k), e_j\big\rangle\, dt \\ \qquad\qquad + \dfrac{1}{Q(t)}\big\langle \widehat{F}\big(Q(t)\displaystyle\sum_{l=1}^n g_{ln}(t)e_l\big), e_j\big\rangle\, dt, \quad t \geq 0 \\ g_{jn}(0) = (f,e_j)_{\mathbb{W}}. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Since the coefficients of (4.4) are locally Lipchitz, (4.4) admits a unique local solution defined on a maximal existence time interval $\big[0, T_0(\omega)\big)$. From (4.2) it follows that

$$\big|v_n(t)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 = |\Pi_n f|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(s),s), v_n(s)\big\rangle\, ds.$$

By (2.12), (2.13), Lemma (2.2) and **(F1)**, we get

$$\big|v_n(t)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu\int_0^t \big\|v_n(s)\big\|^2\, ds \leq |f|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\int_0^t C_F(s)\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\, ds,$$

here the function C_F comes from **(F1)**. Hence Gronwall's inequality implies that

$$\sup_{0\leq t<T_0} \big|v_n(t)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu\int_0^{T_0} \big\|v_n(t)\big\|^2\, dt \leq C(T_0)|f|_{\mathbb{V}}^2. \tag{4.5}$$

This conclude that v_n is global in time, i.e. $T_0 = \infty$.

Next, we estimate the $\mathbb{W}$-norm of v_n. By (2.4) and (4.2), we have

$$d(v_n(t),e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} = (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(t),t),e_i)_{\mathbb{V}}\, dt, \quad i = 1,\dots,n. \tag{4.6}$$

(2.6) implies $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(t),t) \in \mathbb{W}$, so multiplying both sides of (4.6) by λ_i, we can use (2.5) to obtain

$$d(v_n(t),e_i)_{\mathbb{W}} = (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(t),t),e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}\, dt, \quad i = 1,\dots,n. \tag{4.7}$$

Applying the chain rule to $(v^n(t),e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}^2$ and then summing over i from 1 to n yields

$$|v_n(t)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 = |v_n(0)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 + 2\int_0^t (\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(s),s), v_n(s))_{\mathbb{W}}\, ds. \tag{4.8}$$

Due to (2.8), (2.9) and (2.17), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(s),s), v_n(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}} \\ =&\big(\widehat{F}_Q(v_n(s),s), v_n(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}} + \nu\Big(\mathrm{curl}(\Delta v_n(s)), \mathrm{curl}\big(v_n(s) - \alpha\Delta v_n(s)\big)\Big) \\ =&\big(\widehat{F}_Q(v_n(s),s), v_n(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}} - \frac{\nu}{\alpha}\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 + \frac{\nu}{\alpha}\Big(\mathrm{curl}\big(v_n(s)\big), \mathrm{curl}\big(v_n(s) - \alpha\Delta v_n(s)\big)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting (4.9) into (4.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v_n(t)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t|v_n(s)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds\\
\leq&|\Pi_n f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|\mathrm{curl}\big(v_n(s)\big)\big|\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds+2\int_0^t\big|\widehat{F}_Q(v_n(s),s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds\\
\leq&|\Pi_n f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|\mathrm{curl}\big(v_n(s)\big)\big|^2\,ds+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2ds+\frac{2\alpha}{\nu}\int_0^t\big|\widehat{F}_Q(v_n(s),s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

hence

$$\big|v_n(t)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds\leq|\Pi_n f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|\mathrm{curl}\big(v_n(s)\big)\big|^2\,ds+\frac{2\alpha}{\nu}\int_0^t\big|\widehat{F}_Q(v_n(s),s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds.$$

By (2.10), (2.11) and **(F1)**, we deduce

$$\big|v_n(t)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds\leq|f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+C\int_0^t C_F(s)\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\big|v_n(t)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^T\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds\leq C(T)|f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2.$$

□

Remark 1. *Since the process Q is jointly measurable and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq0}$ -adapted, so g_{jn} and v_n are $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W})\otimes\mathcal{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$-measurable and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq0}$ -adapted.*

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that* **(F1)** *holds. Let $f\in\mathbb{W}$, $\omega\in\Omega$, then for each $T>0$, there exists a unique solution $v(\cdot\,,f,\omega)\in C\big([0,T];\mathbb{V}\big)\cap L^\infty\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}\big)$ to the equation (4.1). Moreover, the following estimate holds:*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big|v(t,f,\omega)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\leq C(T)|f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2.\tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Fix $T>0$. By (2.14), Lemma 2.2, **(F1)** and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T|v_n'(t)|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2\,dt=\int_0^T\big|\Pi_n\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_n(s),s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2\,ds\\
\leq&C\int_0^T\big|\widehat{A}v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2\,ds+C\int_0^T\big|Q(s)\widehat{B}\big(v_n(s)\big)\big|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2\,ds+C\int_0^T\big|\widehat{F}_Q\big(v_n(s),s\big)\big|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2\,ds\\
\leq&C\int_0^T\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\,ds+C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Q(t)|^2\int_0^T\big|v_n(s)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^4\,ds\\
\leq&C\big(T,\|Q\|_{\infty,T}\big)(1+|f|_{\mathbb{V}}^4).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

(4.12) and (4.3) imply that there exists a subsequence $\{v_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and v such that:

$$v_{n_k}\rightharpoonup v\quad\text{weakly star convergent in } L^\infty\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}\big),\tag{4.13}$$

$$v_{n_k}\rightharpoonup v\quad\text{weakly convergent in } L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}\big),\tag{4.14}$$

$$v'_{n_k} \rightharpoonup v' \quad \text{weakly convergent in } L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*\big), \tag{4.15}$$

moreover, the estimate (4.11) holds, and $v'(\cdot\,,f,\omega) \in L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*\big)$. Using Lemma III.1.2 in [18], we deduce that for any $f \in \mathbb{W}, \omega \in \Omega$, we have $v(\cdot\,,f,\omega) \in C\big([0,T];\mathbb{V}\big)$. Lemma III.1.4 in [18] implies that $v(t,f,\omega) \in \mathbb{W}, \forall\, t \geq 0$, moreover $v(\cdot\,,f,\omega)$ is $\mathbb{W}$-valued weakly continuous. Since the embedding $\mathbb{W} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is compact, by Theorem III.2.1 in [18], it follows that

$$v_{n_k} \to v \quad \text{strongly convergent in } L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{V}\big). \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.14), (4.16), the bilinear property of $\widehat{B}$ and the following estimate

$$\|\widehat{B}(v(\cdot),v(\cdot))\|_{L^1([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)} \leq C\|v(\cdot)\|_{L^2([0,T];\mathbb{W})}\|v(\cdot)\|_{L^2([0,T];\mathbb{V})}, \tag{4.17}$$

we can see that

$$\widehat{B}\big(v_{n_k}(s)\big) \rightharpoonup \widehat{B}\big(v(s)\big) \quad \text{weakly convergent in } L^1([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*). \tag{4.18}$$

When $n_k \geq m$, for $w \in \mathbb{W}_m$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \big\langle v'_{n_k}(s), w\big\rangle\, ds = \int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v_{n_k}(s),s), w\big\rangle\, ds \\ &= -\nu\int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{A}v_{n_k}(s), w\big\rangle\, ds - \int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle \widehat{B}\big(v_{n_k}(s)\big), w\big\rangle\, ds + \int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{F}_Q\big(v_{n_k}(s)\big), w\big\rangle\, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Taking limits $k \to \infty$ in (4.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle v(t), w\rangle - \langle v(0), w\rangle = \int_0^t \big\langle v'(s), w\big\rangle\, ds \\ &= -\nu\int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{A}v(s), w\big\rangle\, ds - \int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle \widehat{B}\big(v(s)\big), w\big\rangle\, ds + \int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s),s\big), w\big\rangle\, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{W}_m$ is dense in $\mathbb{W}$, we conclude that v is a solution of the equation (4.1).

To prove the uniqueness, we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} d\big(v(t,f) - v(t,g)\big) = \big(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(t,f),t) - \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(t,g),t)\big)\, dt, \\ v(0,f) - v(0,g) = f - g. \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

where $f, g \in \mathbb{W}$. By the chain rule and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|v(t,f) - v(t,g)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \\ =&|f-g|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 - 2\nu\int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(s,f),s) - \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(s,g),s), v(s,f) - v(s,g)\big\rangle\, ds \\ =&|f-g|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 - 2\nu\int_0^t \big\|v(s,f) - v(s,g)\big\|^2\, ds + 2\int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle \widehat{B}\big(v(s,f) - v(s,g)\big), v(s,g)\big\rangle\, ds \\ &+ 2\int_0^t \big\langle \widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s,f),s\big) - \widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s,g),s\big), v(s,f) - v(s,g)\big\rangle\, ds \\ \leq&|f-g|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 - 2\nu\int_0^t \big\|v(s,f) - v(s,g)\big\|^2\, ds + C\int_0^t Q(s)\big|v(s,f) - v(s,g)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\big|v(s,g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\, ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+ C\int_0^t \big|v(s,f)-v(s,g)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\,ds.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality and (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\big|v(s,f)-v(s,g)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2+2\nu\int_0^T\big\|v(s,f)-v(s,g)\big\|^2\,ds\\ &\le |f-g|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\exp\Big(CT+C\int_0^T Q(s)\big|v(s,g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds\Big)\\ &\le |f-g|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\exp\big(CT+C(T)\|Q\|_{\infty,T}|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

which implies the uniqueness. □

Remark 2. *For each $f\in\mathbb{W}$, the process $v(\cdot\,,f,\cdot):\mathbb{R}^+\times\Omega\to\mathbb{W}$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)\otimes\mathcal{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$-measurable and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge0}$-adapted, this is an immediate consequence of the Galerkin approximations.*

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that* **(F1)** *holds, then for any $\omega\in\Omega$, the solution map $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{W}\ni(t,f)\mapsto v(t,f,\omega)\in\mathbb{W}$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let t_n,t be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^+$, such that $t_n\to t$. So there exists a constant $T\ge0$ such that $t_n\le T$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let f_n,f be a sequence in $\mathbb{W}$, such that $f_n\to f$ strongly in $\mathbb{W}$. Our aim is to show that $v(t_n,f_n)\to v(t,f)$ strongly in $\mathbb{W}$.

By the same method as the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can extract a subsequence $\{v(\cdot,f_{n_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&v(\cdot,f_{n_j})\rightharpoonup\widetilde{v}(\cdot)\quad\text{weakly star convergent in } L^\infty\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}\big),\\ &v(\cdot,f_{n_j})\rightharpoonup\widetilde{v}(\cdot)\quad\text{weakly convergent in } L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}\big),\\ &v'(\cdot,f_{n_j})\rightharpoonup\widetilde{v}'(\cdot)\quad\text{weakly convergent in } L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*\big),\\ &v(\cdot,f_{n_j})\to\widetilde{v}(\cdot)\quad\text{strongly convergent in } L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{V}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

for some $\widetilde{v}\in L^\infty\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}\big)$ with $\widetilde{v}'\in L^2\big([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*\big)$. (4.22) allow us to pass to the limit in the equation for $v(\cdot,f_{n_j},\omega)$ to find that $\widetilde{v}(\cdot)$ is also a solution of (4.1) with $\widetilde{v}(0)=f$. Then by the uniqueness of solutions we obtain $\widetilde{v}(\cdot)=v(\cdot,f)$. Again, by a contradiction argument, the uniqueness of solutions implies that the whole sequence $\{v(\cdot,f_n)\}$ converges to $v(\cdot,f)$ in the sense of (4.22). In particular, we deduce

$$v(t_n,f_n)\rightharpoonup v(t,f)\quad\text{weakly convergent in }\mathbb{W}.\tag{4.23}$$

By Theorem 4.1.2 in [13], we have the following energy equation for $v(t_n,f_n)$

$$|v(t_n,f_n)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2=|f_n|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}t_n}+2\int_0^{t_n}K(v(s,f_n),s)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}(t_n-s)}\,ds,\tag{4.24}$$

where

$$K(v(s,f_n),s):=\Big(\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\mathrm{curl}\big(v(s,f_n)\big)+\mathrm{curl}\big(F_Q(v(s,f_n),s)\big),\mathrm{curl}\big(v(s,f_n)-\alpha\Delta v(s,f_n)\big)\Big).$$

(4.22) also allow us to pass to the limit in (4.24) to obtain that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|v(t_n,f_n)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2=|f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}t}+2\int_0^t K(v(s,f),s)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}(t-s)}\,ds.$$

From the energy equation for $v(t,f)$, we see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|v(t_n,f_n)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2=|v(t,f)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2,$$

which together with (4.23) yields

$$v(t_n,f_n)\to v(t,f)\quad \text{strongly convergent in } \mathbb{W}.$$

□

Remark 3. *Proposition 4.2 and Remark 2 imply that the map* $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{W}\times\Omega\ni(t,f,\omega)\mapsto v(t,f,\omega)\in\mathbb{W}$ *is* $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W})\otimes\mathcal{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{W}))$*-measurable.*

In order to obtain further results, we need the following supplementary estimate.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that* **(F1)** *holds. Let* $f\in\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}),g\in\mathbb{W}$*, then for any* $T\geq 0$*,*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\big|v(t,f)-v(t,g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\leq C(T,\|Q\|_{\infty,T},|f|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})})|f-g|_{\mathbb{W}}^2. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof. Consider the equation (4.20). Let

$$x(t):=v(t,f)-v(t,g).$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we see that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(t,f),t),\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(t,g),t)\in\mathbb{W}$. Using the similar arguments as (4.8), we have

$$|x(t)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2=|x(0)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+2\int_0^t\big(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(s,f),s)-\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(s,g),s),x(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds. \tag{4.26}$$

Due to (2.9), (2.16) and (2.17), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(s,f),s)-\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_Q(v(s,g),s),x(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}\\
=&\big(\widehat{F}_Q(v(s,f),s)-\widehat{F}_Q(v(s,g),s),x(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}+\nu\Big(\mathrm{curl}\big(\Delta x(s)\big),\mathrm{curl}\big(x(s)-\alpha\Delta x(s)\big)\Big)\\
&-Q(s)\big(\widehat{B}(v(s,f)),x(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}+Q(s)\big(\widehat{B}(v(s,g)),x(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}\\
=&\big(\widehat{F}_Q(v(s,f),s)-\widehat{F}_Q(v(s,g),s),x(s)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}-\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\big|x(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\Big(\mathrm{curl}\big(x(s)\big),\mathrm{curl}\big(x(s)-\alpha\Delta x(s)\big)\Big)\\
&-Q(s)\Big(\big(x(s)\cdot\nabla\big)\mathrm{curl}\big(v(s,f)-\alpha\Delta v(s,f)\big),\mathrm{curl}\big(x(s)-\alpha\Delta x(s)\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equality into (4.26) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x(t)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t|x(s)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds\\
\leq&|f-g|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t\big|\mathrm{curl}\big(x(s)\big)\big|\big|x(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds+2\int_0^t\big|\widehat{F}_Q(v(s,f),s)-\widehat{F}_Q(v(s,g),s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\big|x(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds\\
&+2\int_0^tQ(s)\big|x(s)\big|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}\big|v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})}\big|x(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By $2ab\leq\epsilon a^2+\epsilon^{-1}b^2$, (2.10), **(F1)** and $\big|x(s)\big|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}\leq C\big|x(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}$, there holds

$$|x(t)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t|x(s)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds\leq|f-g|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+C\int_0^t\big(1+Q(s)\big|v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})}\big)\big|x(s)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds. \tag{4.27}$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we see that

$$\big|v(\cdot\,,f)\big|_{L^\infty([0,T];\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}))} \le C(|f|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})}, \|Q\|_{\infty,T}, T). \tag{4.28}$$

Therefore, applying Gronwall's inequality to (4.27), we get

$$|x(t)|^2_{\mathbb{W}} + \frac{\nu}{\alpha}\int_0^t |x(s)|^2_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds \le C(|f|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})}, \|Q\|_{\infty,T}, T)|f-g|^2_{\mathbb{W}}.$$

□

Let $f \in \mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}), g \in \mathbb{W}$. Consider the following random equation

$$\begin{cases} dz(t,f)(g) = -\nu\widehat{A}z(t,f)(g)\,dt - Q(t)\widehat{B}\big(z(t,f)(g), v(t,f)\big)\,dt \\ \qquad\qquad - Q(t)\widehat{B}\big(v(t,f), z(t,f)(g)\big)\,dt + \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(t)v(t,f),t\big)z(t,f)(g)\,dt \\ z(0,f)(g) = g. \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

Indeed, $z(t,f,\omega) = \mathbb{D}v(t,f,\omega)$. To illustrate this, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Under* **(F1)** *and* **(F2)**, *then for any $f \in \mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}), g \in \mathbb{W}, \omega \in \Omega$, there exists a unique solution $z(\cdot\,,f,\omega)(g)$ to the eqution (4.29), and the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\le t\le T}\big|z(t,f,\omega)(g)\big|^2_{\mathbb{V}} \le C(T,\|Q\|_{\infty,T},|f|_{\mathbb{W}})|g|^2_{\mathbb{V}}, \quad \forall\, T>0, \\ &\sup_{0\le t\le T}\big|z(t,f,\omega)(g)\big|^2_{\mathbb{W}} \le C(T,\|Q\|_{\infty,T},|f|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})})|g|^2_{\mathbb{W}}, \quad \forall\, T>0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Proof. We omit details, since the proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 just with a little adaption.

□

Proposition 4.5. *Under* **(F1)** *and* **(F2)**, *then for any $\omega\in\Omega,\ t\ge 0$, $v(t,\cdot,\omega):\mathbb{W}\ni f\longmapsto v(t,f,\omega)\in\mathbb{V}$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable on $\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. Let $f\in\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}), g\in\mathbb{W}, h\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, t\in[0,T]$, for some fixed $T>0$. set

$$X(t,f,g,h) := \frac{v(t,f+hg)-v(t,f)}{h} - z(t,f)(g).$$

It follows from (4.1) and (4.29) that

$$dX(t,f,g,h) = -\nu\widehat{A}X(t,f,g,h)\,dt - Q(t)\Upsilon(t)\,dt + \Gamma(t)\,dt, \tag{4.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(t) :=& \frac{-\widehat{B}\big(v(t,f+hg)\big)+\widehat{B}\big(v(t,f)\big)}{h} + \widehat{B}\big(z(t,f)(g),v(t,f)\big) + \widehat{B}\big(v(t,f),z(t,f)(g)\big), \\ \Gamma(t) :=& \frac{\widehat{F}_Q\big(v(t,f+hg),t\big)-\widehat{F}_Q\big(v(t,f),t\big)}{h} - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(t)v(t,f),t\big)z(t,f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \big\langle\Upsilon(s), X(s,f,g,h)\big\rangle =& -\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(X(s,f,g,h),v(s,f)\big),X(s,f,g,h)\big\rangle \\ &+ h\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(z(s,f)(g),X(s,f,g,h)\big),z(s,f)(g)\big\rangle \\ &+ h\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(X(s,f,g,h)\big),z(s,f)(g)\big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Applying the chain rule to (4.31) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\big|X(t,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 =& -2\nu\int_0^t \big\|X(s,f,g,h)\big\|^2\,ds - 2\int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle \Upsilon(s), X(s,f,g,h)\big\rangle\,ds\\ &+2\int_0^t \Big\langle \frac{\widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s,f+hg),s\big)-\widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s,f),s\big)}{h}\\ &\qquad -\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\frac{v(s,f+hg)-v(s,f)}{h}, X(s,f,g,h)\Big\rangle\,ds\\ &+2\int_0^t \big\langle \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)X(s,f,g,h), X(s,f,g,h)\big\rangle\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma (2.2) and (4.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|X(t,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu\int_0^t \big\|X(s,f,g,h)\big\|^2\,ds\\ &\le C\int_0^t Q(s)\big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\big|v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds + C\int_0^t Q(s)h\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}\,ds\\ &\quad + C\int_0^t Q(s)h\big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds + \Phi(t) + \int_0^t \big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\,ds\\ &\quad + 2\int_0^t \big\|\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}\big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\,ds,\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

where

$$\Phi(t) := \int_0^t \left|\frac{\widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s,f+hg),s\big)-\widehat{F}_Q\big(v(s,f),s\big)}{h} - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\frac{v(s,f+hg)-v(s,f)}{h}\right|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds.$$

(F1) and **(F2)** imply that

$$\big\|\mathbb{D}F\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})} \le C, \quad \forall\, s\in[0,T]. \tag{4.34}$$

Using the estimate

$$h\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}} \le \frac{1}{2}h^2\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\big|X(s,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2,$$

and Gronwall's inequality to (4.33), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\big|X(t,f,g,h)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu\int_0^T \big\|X(s,f,g,h)\big\|^2\,ds\\ &\le\Big(Ch^2\int_0^T Q(s)\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds + \Phi(T)\Big)\times\exp\Big(C\int_0^T Q(s)\big|v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds\\ &\qquad + C\int_0^T Q(s)\big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\,ds + Ch\int_0^T Q(s)|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds + CT\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

By (4.21), we have

$$\lim_{h\to0}\sup_{|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\le1}\Phi(T) \le \lim_{h\to0}\sup_{|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\le1}\Big\{\int_0^T\int_0^1 \Big\|\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\Big(Q(s)\big[v(s,f)+\rho\big(v(s,f+hg)-v(s,f)\big)\big],s\Big)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\Big\|^2_{L(\mathbb{V})}\left|\frac{v(s,f+hg)-v(s,f)}{h}\right|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\,d\rho ds\Big\}\\
\leq & C(T,\|Q\|_{\infty,T},|f|_{\mathbb{W}})\lim_{h\to 0}\sup_{|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\leq 1}\Big\{\int_0^T\int_0^1\Big\|\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\Big(Q(s)\big[v(s,f)\\
&\qquad +\rho\big(v(s,f+hg)-v(s,f)\big)\big],s\Big)-\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\Big\|^2_{L(\mathbb{V})}\,d\rho ds\Big\}\\
= & 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (4.34) and the dominated convergence theorem in the last step. Then (4.11), (4.30) and (4.35) imply that

$$
\lim_{h\to 0}\sup_{|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\leq 1}\left\{\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X(t,f,g,h)|^2_{\mathbb{V}}+2\nu\int_0^T\|X(s,f,g,h)\|\,ds\right\}=0.
$$

In particular, for any $0\leq t\leq T$,

$$
\lim_{h\to 0}\sup_{|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\leq 1}\left|\frac{v(t,f+hg)-v(t,f)}{h}-z(t,f)(g)\right|_{\mathbb{V}}=0.
$$

Thus, we have proved that if $f\in\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$, then for any $t\geq 0,\omega\in\Omega$, the map $\mathbb{W}\ni f\longmapsto v(t,f,\omega)\in\mathbb{V}$ is Fréchet differentiable at f.

Next, we prove that for any fixed $t\in[0,T],\omega\in\Omega$, the map $\mathbb{W}\ni f\longrightarrow z(t,f)\in L(\mathbb{W},\mathbb{V})$ is strongly continuous on $\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$. Let $f\in\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}),g\in\mathbb{W}$ with $|g|_{\mathbb{W}}\leq 1$, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\subset\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$ and $f_n\to f$ strongly convergent in $\mathbb{W}$. By (4.29), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|z(t,f_n)(g)-z(t,f)(g)|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\\
=&-2\nu\int_0^t\|z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\|^2\,ds\\
&-2\int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g),v(s,f_n)\big),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big\rangle\,ds\\
&-2\int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(z(s,f)(g),v(s,f_n)-v(s,f)\big),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big\rangle\,ds\\
&-2\int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(v(s,f_n),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big\rangle\,ds\\
&-2\int_0^t Q(s)\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(v(s,f_n)-v(s,f),z(s,f)(g)\big),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big\rangle\,ds\\
&+2\int_0^t\Big(\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n),s\big)\big(z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\Big)_{\mathbb{V}}\,ds\\
&+2\int_0^t\Big(\big[\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n),s\big)-\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\big]z(s,f)(g),z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\Big)_{\mathbb{V}}\,ds\\
=&-2\nu\int_0^t\big\|z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big\|^2\,ds+I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4+I_5+I_6.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.36}
$$

We now estimate terms I_1,I_2,I_3,I_4,I_5,I_6. From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$
|I_1|\leq C\int_0^t Q(s)\big|z(s,f_n)(g)-z(s,f)(g)\big|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\big|v(s,f_n)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}\,ds,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_2| \leq & C \int_0^t Q(s) \big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}} \big|z(s,f_n)(g) - z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{V}} \big|v(s,f_n) - v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{W}} \, ds \\
\leq & C \int_0^t Q(s) \big|z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 \big|z(s,f_n)(g) - z(s,f)(g)\big|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \, ds \\
& + C \int_0^t Q(s) \big|v(s,f_n) - v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 \, ds,
\end{aligned}
$$

$I_3 = 0$, $|I_4|$ has the same estimate as $|I_2|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_5| \leq & \, 2 \int_0^t \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})} |z(s,f_n)(g) - z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \, ds, \\
|I_6| \leq & 2 \int_0^t \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})} \\
& \qquad \times |z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}} \, |z(s,f_n)(g) - z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}} \, ds \\
\leq & \int_0^t \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}^2 \, ds \\
& + \int_0^t |z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \, |z(s,f_n)(g) - z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \, ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above estimates into (4.36) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |z(t,f_n)(g) - z(t,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|z(t,f_n)(g) - z(t,f)(g)\|^2 \, ds \\
\leq & C \int_0^t Q(s) \big|v(s,f_n) - v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 \, ds \\
& + \int_0^t \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}^2 \, ds \\
& + \int_0^t \Big[CQ(s) \, |v(s,f_n)|_{\mathbb{W}} + CQ(s) \, |z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 + 2 \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})} \\
& \qquad + |z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \Big] \, |z(s,f_n)(g) - z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \, ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, and using (4.11), (4.25), (4.30) and $|g|_{\mathbb{W}} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |z(t,f_n)(g) - z(t,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^T \|z(t,f_n)(g) - z(t,f)(g)\|^2 \, ds \\
\leq & \Bigg(C \int_0^T Q(s) \big|v(s,f_n) - v(s,f)\big|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 \, ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) - \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}^2 \, ds \Bigg) \\
& \quad \times \exp \Bigg(\int_0^T \Big[CQ(s) \, |v(s,f_n)|_{\mathbb{W}} + CQ(s) \, |z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 \\
& \qquad\qquad + 2 \Big\| \mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n), s\big) \Big\|_{L(\mathbb{V})} + |z(s,f)(g)|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \Big] \, ds \Bigg) \\
\leq & \Big(C(|f|_{\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{O})}^4, \|Q\|_{\infty,T}, T) |f_n - f|_{\mathbb{W}}^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\int_0^T \Big\|\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f_n),s\big)-\mathbb{D}\widehat{F}\big(Q(s)v(s,f),s\big)\Big\|^2_{L(\mathbb{V})}\,ds\Big)$$
$$\times C(|f_n|_{\mathbb{W}},|f|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})},|f|_{\mathbb{W}},\|Q\|_{\infty,T},T).$$

Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|z(t,f_n)-z(t,f)\|_{L(\mathbb{W},\mathbb{V})}=0.$$

In particular, for every $\omega\in\Omega, t\in[0,T]$, the map $\mathbb{W}\ni f\longmapsto v(t,f,\omega)\in\mathbb{V}$ has continuously Fréchet derivative on the subset $\mathbb{W}\cap\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$. The proof is complete.

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let v be the solution of (4.1). Define the required version $u:\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{W}\times\Omega\longrightarrow\mathbb{W}$ by

$$u(t,f,\omega):=Q(t,w)v(t,f,\omega). \tag{4.37}$$

Note first that Q is jointly measurable and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge0}$-adapted, in view of Remark 2 and Remark 3, we see that u satisfies assertion (i).

Next, we establish the perfect cocycle property (ii). To see this, observe that Q has the cocycle property

$$Q(t_1+t_2,\omega)=Q\big(t_2,\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)Q(t_1,\omega),\quad\forall\, t_1,t_2\ge0,\omega\in\Omega.$$

The cocycle property (ii) will be established if we prove the following identity

$$v(t_1+t_2,f,\omega)=Q(t,\omega)^{-1}v\big(t_2,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big), \tag{4.38}$$

for any $t_1,t_2\ge0,\omega\in\Omega,f\in\mathbb{W}$. Indeed, suppose that (4.38) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}u\big(t,u(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)=&Q\big(t,\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)v\big(t,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)\\=&Q(t_1+t,\omega)Q(t_1,\omega)^{-1}v\big(t,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)\\=&Q(t_1+t,\omega)v(t_1+t,f,\omega)\\=&u(t_1+t,f,\omega).\end{aligned}$$

We now show (4.38). To do this, define processes

$$\begin{aligned}x(t,\omega):=&Q(t,\omega)^{-1}v\big(t,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big),\\\bar{x}(t,\omega):=&v(t_1+t,f,\omega),\end{aligned}$$

for any $t\ge0,\omega\in\Omega$. It is easy to see that $\bar{x}(t,\omega)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x}(t,\omega)=&v(t_1,f,\omega)-\nu\int_0^t\widehat{A}\bar{x}(s,\omega)ds-\int_0^t Q(t_1+s,\omega)\widehat{B}\big(\bar{x}(s,\omega)\big)\,ds\\&+\int_0^t\frac{1}{Q(t_1+s,\omega)}\widehat{F}\big(Q(t_1+s,\omega)\bar{x}(s,\omega)\big)\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$v\big(t,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega) - \nu\int_0^t \widehat{A}v\big(s, Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)\,ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t Q\big(s,\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)\widehat{B}\Big(v\big(s,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)\Big)\,ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{1}{Q\big(s,\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)}\widehat{F}\Big(Q\big(s,\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)v\big(s,Q(t_1,\omega)v(t_1,f,\omega),\theta(t_1,\omega)\big)\Big)\,ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying the above equation by $Q(t_1,\omega)^{-1}$, and using the bilinear property of $\widehat{B}$, we see that $x(t,\omega)$ satisfies the same integral equation as $\bar{x}(t,\omega)$. Using the similar arguments as in the proof of the uniqueness of the equation (4.1), we can deduce that $\bar{x}(t,\omega) = x(t,\omega)$ for all $t \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$. This proves the identity (4.38), so the cocycle property (3.3) holds. The continuity property is a consequence of (4.37) and Proposition 4.2.

Assertion (iii) is a consequence of (4.37) and Proposition 4.5.

□

References

[1] N. Arada. On the convergence of the two-dimensional second grade fluid model to the Navier-Stokes equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 260(3):2557–2586, 2016.

[2] A. V. Busuioc and T. S. Ratiu. The second grade fluid and averaged Euler equations with Navier-slip boundary conditions. *Nonlinearity*, 16(3):1119–1149, 2003.

[3] V. Busuioc. On second grade fluids with vanishing viscosity. *Comptes Rendus de l'Acadmie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics*, 328(12):1241–1246, 1999.

[4] D. Cioranescu and V. Girault. Weak and classical solutions of a family of second grade fluids. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 32(2):317–335, 1997.

[5] D. Cioranescu and E. H. Ouazar. Existence and uniqueness for fluids of second grade. *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, 109:178–197, 1984.

[6] J. Dunn and K. Rajagopal. Fluids of differential type: critical review and thermodynamic analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 33(5):689–729, 1995.

[7] J. E. Dunn and R. L. Fosdick. Thermodynamics, stability, and boundedness of fluids of complexity 2 and fluids of second grade. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 56(3):191–252, 1974.

[8] R. Fosdick and K. Rajagopal. Anomalous features in the model of second order fluids. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 70(2):145–152, 1979.

[9] E. Hausenblas, P. A. Razafimandimby, and M. Sango. Martingale solution to equations for differential type fluids of grade two driven by random force of Lévy type. *Potential Analysis*, 38(4):1291–1331, 2013.

[10] D. Iftimie. Remarques sur la limite $\alpha \to 0$ pour les fluides de grade 2. *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications*, 31:457–468, 2002.

[11] S. Mohammed and T. Zhang. Dynamics of stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(10):3543–3591, 2010.

[12] S. Mohammed, T. Zhang, and H. Zhao. *The stable manifold theorem for semilinear stochastic evolution equations and stochastic partial differential equations*, volume 194. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.

[13] I. Moise, R. Rosa, and X. Wang. Attractors for non-compact semigroups via energy equations. *Nonlinearity*, 11(5):1369–1393, 1998.

[14] P. A. Razafimandimby and M. Sango. Weak solutions of a stochastic model for two-dimensional second grade fluids. *Boundary Value Problems*, 2010(1):1–47, 2010.

[15] P. A. Razafimandimby and M. Sango. Strong solution for a stochastic model of two-dimensional second grade fluids: Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 75(11):4251–4270, 2012.

[16] S. Shang, J. Zhai, and T. Zhang. Strong solutions for a stochastic model of 2-D second grade fluids driven by Lévy noise. *arXiv:1701.00314*.

[17] S. Shkoller. Smooth global lagrangian flow for the 2D Euler and second-grade fluid equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 14(5):539–543, 2001.

[18] R. Temam. Navier-Stokes equation: Theory and numerical analysis. North-Holland, 1977.

[19] R. Wang, J. Zhai, and T. Zhang. Exponential mixing for stochastic model of two-dimensional second grade fluids. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 132:196–213, 2016.

[20] J. Zhai and T. Zhang. Large deviations for stochastic models of two-dimensional second grade fluids. *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 1–28, 2016.

[21] J. Zhai, T. Zhang, and W. Zheng. Moderate deviations for stochastic models of two-dimensional second grade fluids. *arXiv:1607.08669*.